### **KU LEUVEN**

#### MatchID Image Correlation & Material Identification



Integration of DIC into VFM: how do measurements contribute to identified material properties?

**P. Lava**, D. Debruyne and F. Pierron<sup>\*</sup> \*University of Southampton



Celebrating 50 years of BSSM: Showcase on leading edge experimental techniques, 4th November 2014, London UK

# **Motivation**

#### **Full-Field measurements**





• Grid

• • •

- Photoelasticity
- Interferometry
- Digital Image Correlation
- •

**Measurement errors** 

#### Mechanical properties of materials

- Finite element updating
- Constitutive equation gap
- Equilibrium gap
- Reciprocrity gap
- Virtual Fields Method
  - ...

Identification errors

## **DIC** is a complex and non-linear process

#### ... results depend on many parameters:

- Correlation criterion
- Interpolation routines
- Shape functions
- Regularization parameters:
  - $\circ$  Subset
  - Virtual strain gauge size
- Speckle pattern
- ...



# Motivation

#### **Full-Field measurements**



• Grid

. . .

۰

- Photoelasticity
- Interferometry
- Digital Image Correlation

**Measurement errors** 



- Finite element updating
- Constitutive equation gap
- Equilibrium gap
- Reciprocrity gap
- Virtual Fields Method

**Identification** errors

•••

Any realistic UQ on the mechanical parameters requires to take into account the measurement process

# How?



- Confidence margins for the determined material parameters
- Optimization of test design (geometry of specimen, smoothing, ...)



# Case study : unnotched losipescu test



Rossi M., Pierron F., International Journal of Solids and Structures, 2012.

- Glass/epoxy unidirectional composite
- Linear elastic orthotropic

Stress state is composition of compression, bending and shear

**KU LEU** 

- Design variables:
  - Free length L
  - Fibre orientation α



### Input data

### **Design Variables**

- Free Length L: 10 -> 60 mm ; L =2mm
- Fibre orientation  $\alpha$ : 0° -> 90° ;  $\alpha$  += 10°

### **Camera characteristics**

- spatial resolution: 1320 x 1024
- Dynamic range: 8-bit

#### **Stiffness components**

Stiffness		Maximum stress	
$Q_{xx}$ (MPa)	40920	$S_{+x}$ (MPa)	1000
$Q_{yy}$ (MPa)	10230	$S_{-x}$ (MPa)	-600
$Q_{xy}$ (MPa)	3069	$S_{+y}$ (MPa)	40
$Q_{ss}$ (MPa)	4000	$S_{-y}$ (MPa)	-100
		$S_s$ (MPa)	40





# FE generated displacement map + image of real speckle pattern



#### SPECKLE DEFORMATION





## Synthetic image generation

#### Numerical deformation errors should be as low as possible: SUB-SAMPLING



# Synthetic image generation: validation



P. Reu, *Experimental and numerical methods for exact subpixel shifting*, Experimental Mechanics 51 (2011) 443-452

Rossi M., Lava P., Pierron F., Debruyne D. and Sasso M. *Effect of DIC spatial resolution, noise and interpolation error on identification results with the VFM*, submitted to Strain (2014)



### Noise ...

Intensity/color fluctuation around the "actual" image intensity/color.



**KU LEUVEN** 

M. Grediac and F. Sur, "Effect of sensor noise on the resolution and spatial resolution of displacement and strain maps estimated with the grid method," Strain, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 1–27, 2014



## Full-field measurement by DIC





## **Virtual Fields Method**



Homogeneous linear elastic orthotropic materials

$$Q_{xx} \int_{S} \varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{x}^{*} dS + Q_{yy} \int_{S} \varepsilon_{y} \varepsilon_{y}^{*} dS + Q_{xy} \int_{S} \left( \varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y}^{*} + \varepsilon_{y} \varepsilon_{x}^{*} \right) dS + Q_{ss} \int_{S} \varepsilon_{s} \varepsilon_{s}^{*} dS = \int_{\partial S} T_{x} u_{x}^{*} dl + \int_{\partial S} T_{y} u_{y}^{*} dl$$



### comparison

The identification error is defined as

$$Err = \sqrt{\sum_{ij} w_{ij} \left(1 - \frac{Q_{ij}}{Q_{ij}^0}\right)^2}$$

with ij = [xx, yy, xy, ss]

 $Q_{ij}^0$  are the reference parameters introduced in the FE model  $Q_{ij}$  are the parameters identified with the VFM  $w_{ij}$  is a weighting parameter

Noise introduction (random): **several** simulated experiments are repeated

$$Err = \frac{1}{N_e} \sum_{k=1}^{N_e} Err_k$$

## Optimization in view of TEST DESIGN

SS = 21 , VSG  $\,$  = 201 are FIXED

(1360x1024 ; noise: 2 grey levels; 8-bit; 20 repetitions;  $\Delta L = 2mm$  ;  $\Delta \alpha = 10^{\circ}$ )



Rossi M., Lava P., Pierron F., Debruyne D. and Sasso M. *Effect of DIC spatial resolution, noise and interpolation error on identification results with the VFM,* submitted to Strain (2014)

### Results



# **Optimization in view of Regularization**

• Shear strain maps obtained by image deformation plus different levels of smoothing





VSG=5 pixels (no smoothing)

VSG=60 pixels (local polynomial)

**KUL** 

Which one is 'the best' ?



### Results

L = 30 mm,  $\alpha$  = 55° are FIXED: optimization in view of **DATA ANALYSIS** 



Smoothing ... but not too much



Heterogeneities ... but not too much

## Conclusions

- Simulator for material identification combining DIC and VFM
- Design specimen geometries which maximize performance of DIC and VFM
- Select the optimum regularization parameters (subset, VSG, ...) that minimize the error on the identified properties in function of your experimental setup (noise, lighting, ...)
- Provided realistic confidence margins for the identified stiffnesses
- Applied to any material model

### Demo of direct integration of VFM and DIC



Glass/epoxy unidirectional composite

**KU LEUVEN** 

- Linear elastic orthotropic
- Fibre orientation: 80 degrees
- Load: 3736N
- Thickness: 1mm
- Width = length = 20mm

**Reference values:** 

Q11 = 41000MPa Q22 = 10300MPa Q12=3090MPa Q66=4000MPa

### DIC course Metrology beyond colors January 12-16, 2015 - Ghent, Belgium



http://diccourse.matchid.org

